JAMES E. RISCH – Governor RICHARD M. ARMSTRONG – Director DEBRA RANSOM, R.N.,R.H.I.T., Chief BUREAU OF FACILITY STANDARDS 3232 Elder Street P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0036 PHONE 208-334-6626 FAX 208-364-1888

CERTIFIED MAIL: 7000 0500 0001 5168 3467

August 23, 2006

Rochelle Frank, Administrator Mountain View Care Center 500 Polk Street East Kimberly, ID 83341

Provider #: 135084

Dear Ms. Frank:

On August 15, 2006, a Complaint Investigation survey was conducted at Mountain View Care Center by the Bureau of Facility Standards/Department of Health & Welfare to determine if your facility was in compliance with State Licensure and Federal participation requirements for nursing homes participating in the Medicare and/or Medicaid programs. This survey found that your facility was not in substantial compliance with Medicare and Medicaid program participation requirements. This survey found the most serious deficiencies to be widespread deficiencies that constitute no actual harm with potential for more than minimal harm that is not immediate jeopardy, as evidenced by the attached CMS-2567 whereby significant corrections are required.

Enclosed is a Statement of Deficiencies/Plan of Correction, CMS Form 2567L, listing Medicare/Medicaid deficiencies, and a similar form listing licensure health deficiencies. In the spaces provided on the right side of each sheet, answer each deficiency and state the date when each will be completed. Please provide ONLY ONE completion date for each Federal/State Tag in column X5 (Complete Date), to signify when you allege that each tag will be back in compliance. NOTE: The alleged compliance date must be after the "Date Survey Completed" (located in field X3) and on or before the "Date Certain" (listed on page 2). After each deficiency has been answered and dated, the administrator should sign both the CMS Form 2567L and State Statement of Deficiencies, in the spaces provided, and return the originals to this office.

Your Plan of Correction (PoC) for the deficiencies must be submitted by **September 5, 2006**. Failure to submit an acceptable PoC by **September 5, 2006**, may result in the imposition of civil monetary penalties by **September 25, 2006**.

Rochelle Frank, Administrator August 23, 2006 Page 2 of 3

Your PoC must contain the following:

- What corrective action(s) will be accomplished for those residents found to have been affected by the deficient practice;
- How you will identify other residents having the potential to be affected by the same deficient practice and what corrective action(s) will be taken;
- What measures will be put into place or what systemic changes you will make to ensure that the deficient practice does not recur;
- How the corrective action(s) will be monitored to ensure the deficient practice will not recur, i.e., what quality assurance program will be put into place; and,
- Include dates when corrective action will be completed.

All references to federal regulatory requirements contained in this letter are found in *Title 42*, *Code of Federal Regulations*.

Remedies will be recommended for imposition by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), if your facility has failed to achieve substantial compliance by **September 19, 2006 (Date Certain)**. Informal dispute resolution of the cited deficiencies will not delay the imposition of the enforcement actions recommended (or revised, as appropriate) on **September 19, 2006**. A change in the seriousness of the deficiencies on **September 19, 2006**, may result in a change in the remedy.

The remedy, which will be recommended if substantial compliance has not been achieved by **September 19, 2006** includes the following:

Denial of payment for new admissions effective November 15, 2006. [42 CFR §488.417(a)]

If you do not achieve substantial compliance within three (3) months after the last day of the survey identifying noncompliance, the CMS Regional Office and/or State Medicaid Agency must deny payments for new admissions.

We must recommend to the CMS Regional Office and/or State Medicaid Agency that your provider agreement be terminated on February 15, 2007, if substantial compliance is not achieved by that time.

Please note that this notice does not constitute formal notice of imposition of alternative remedies or termination of your provider agreement. Should the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services determine that termination or any other remedy is warranted, it will provide you with a separate formal notification of that determination.

Rochelle Frank, Administrator August 23, 2006 Page 3 of 3

If you believe these deficiencies have been corrected, you may contact Loretta Todd, R.N. or Lorene Kayser, L.S.W., Q.M.R.P., Supervisors, Long Term Care, Bureau of Facility Standards, 3232 Elder Street, PO Box 83720, Boise, ID 83720-0036, Phone #: (208) 334-6626, Fax #: (208) 364-1888, with your written credible allegation of compliance. If you choose and so indicate, the PoC may constitute your allegation of compliance. We may accept the written allegation of compliance and presume compliance until substantiated by a revisit or other means. In such a case, neither the CMS Regional Office nor the State Medicaid Agency will impose the previously recommended remedy, if appropriate.

If, upon the subsequent revisit, your facility has not achieved substantial compliance, we will recommend that the remedies previously mentioned in this letter be imposed by the CMS Regional Office or the State Medicaid Agency beginning on **August 15, 2006** and continue until substantial compliance is achieved. Additionally, the CMS Regional Office or State Medicaid Agency may impose a revised remedy(ies), based on changes in the seriousness of the non-compliance at the time of the revisit, if appropriate.

In accordance with 42 CFR §488.331, you have one opportunity to question cited deficiencies through an informal dispute resolution process. To be given such an opportunity, you are required to send your written request and all required information as directed in Informational Letter #2001-10. Informational Letter #2001-10 can also be found on the Internet at:

http://www.healthandwelfare.idaho.gov/ Rainbow/Documents/medical/2001_10.pdf http://www.healthandwelfare.idaho.gov/ Rainbow/Documents/medical/2001_10_attach1.pdf

This request must be received by **September 5, 2006**. If your request for informal dispute resolution is received after **September 5, 2006**, the request will not be granted. An incomplete informal dispute resolution process will not delay the effective date of any enforcement action.

Thank you for the courtesies extended to us during the survey. If you have any questions, please contact us at (208) 334-6626.

Sincerely,

Lorene Kayser

LORENE KAYSER, L.S.W., Q.M.R.P. Supervisor
Long Term Care

LKK/dmi

Enclosures

JAMES E. RISCH – Governor RICHARD M. ARMSTRONG – Director DEBRA RANSOM, R.N.,R.H.I.T., Chief BUREAU OF FACILITY STANDARDS 3232 Elder Street P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0036 PHONE 208-334-6626 FAX 208-364-1888

September 8, 2006

Rochelle Frank, Administrator Mountain View Care Center 500 Polk Street East Kimberly, ID 83341

Provider #: 135084

Dear Ms. Frank:

On August 15, 2006, a Complaint Investigation was conducted at Mountain View Care Center. Marcia Key, R.N., Winifred Young, R.N. and Kari Head, R.D. conducted the complaint investigation. A total of 16 survey hours were required to complete this investigation. The complaint allegations, findings, and conclusions are as follows:

Complaint #ID00001612

ALLEGATION #1:

The complainant stated the air conditioners are not working and the building temperature was 97 degrees. The facility has failed to repair the air conditioners or to run fans until they were repaired. An identified resident had complained that her room was too hot.

FINDINGS:

The complaint team entered the facility at on July 14, 2006, at 1:45 p.m. An immediate tour of the facility was conducted with the maintenance supervisor. Temperatures were checked with two thermometers in random residents' rooms, including the identified resident's room, the day room and dining rooms. All temperatures were below the maximum allowable temperature of 80 degrees Fahrenheit.

The identified resident and seven random residents were interviewed. Each resident stated the facility's temperature was comfortable. They did not recall any day or days when the facility was

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 2 of 4

too hot. The identified resident had a large fan on in her room. Several residents were observed in bed with light quilts or covers on top of them. Random residents' rooms were observed to have fresh ice water in the rooms. There was also a water dispenser in the day/dining room.

The maintenance supervisor was interviewed. He indicated that on July 7, 2006, the swamp cooler malfunctioned, but it worked sufficiently to maintain the north hall section of the facility at a comfortable temperature. There were no other problems with the remaining units. By July 12, 2006, all the units had been serviced.

The complaint team entered the facility again on August 14 and 15, 2006. The facility's temperature was quite comfortable in all residents' areas.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

ALLEGATION #2:

The complainant stated the facility was not maintaining an adequate amount of food supplies to prepare the menu selections. On July 2, 2006, residents were asking for sandwiches but there was no bread in the facility.

FINDINGS:

The kitchen tour was conducted immediately upon entering the facility on August 14, 2006. There was sufficient amount of food storage in the facility as required, to include bread, milk eggs, meat and peanut butter. Random residents were interviewed. There were no identified concerns about the meals and snacks served. The resident council and grievances were reviewed for a three month time period. There were no identified concerns regarding availability of food.

Random kitchen staff were interviewed and indicated if they do not have a particular needed food item, they can purchase it at a local grocery store.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

ALLEGATION #3:

The complainant stated that menus were not being posted or followed and substitutions were not being approved by the dietitian.

FINDINGS:

The menus were observed to be posted for residents to see. Several residents showed the

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 3 of 4

surveyor where the posting was located.

The spread sheets for the current week were reviewed. These were approved by the dietitian.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

ALLEGATION #4:

The complainant stated that several of the kitchen cabinets were moldy and smelled of mold. According to the complainant, the equipment room also smelled of mold.

FINDINGS:

The equipment room was not observed to smell of mold; however, the facility was cited at F371 for failure to maintain a sanitary kitchen environment free of mold.

CONCLUSIONS:

Substantiated. Federal and State deficiencies related to the allegation are cited.

ALLEGATION #5:

The complainant stated the facility was having financial difficulty to the degree that the telephones were disconnected on July 7, 2006. Food vendors were refusing to deliver food supplies unless the facility pays cash upon delivery. An unidentified nurse reported that the pharmacy was not filling residents' medications due to a large outstanding bill.

FINDINGS:

The regional manager and random staff were interviewed. The telephone is connected to the local cable system, so when that is not functioning, the telephones do not work. They have an adequate backup plan when this occurs. There has been no instance when the telephone was disconnected due to nonpayment.

There have been no food shortages due to nonpayment. Cash on delivery is acceptable.

Four nurses were interviewed during the investigation. Each person stated there have been no concerns regarding a pharmacy not delivering medication due to nonpayment. There have been circumstances when a medication was unavailable. In that case the staff contacts a second pharmacy for delivery.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 4 of 4

ALLEGATION #6:

The complainant stated that residents were being rushed through the supper meal or are not being assisted with the meal as needed so the staff could have the residents in bed by 6 p.m.

FINDINGS:

During the investigation a supper meal was observed. The staff was assisting the residents as needed and no residents were rushed to eat.

Random residents were interviewed. Each resident stated they were able to go to bed each evening at the time they wanted. They were not required to go to bed at the convenience of the staff.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

Based on the findings of the complaint investigation, deficiencies were cited and included on the survey report. No response is necessary to this complaint report, as it will be addressed in the Plan of Correction.

If you have questions or concerns regarding our investigation, please contact us at (208) 334-6626. Thank you for the courtesy and cooperation you and your staff extended to us in the course of our investigation.

Sincerely,

MARCIA KEY, R.N. Health Facility Surveyor

Marcrokey

Long Term Care

MK/dmj

JAMES E. RISCH – Governor RICHARD M. ARMSTRONG – Director DEBRA RANSOM, R.N.,R.H.I.T., Chief BUREAU OF FACILITY STANDARDS 3232 Elder Street P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0036 PHONE 208-334-6626 FAX 208-364-1888

September 8, 2006

Rochelle Frank, Administrator Mountain View Care Center 500 Polk Street East Kimberly, ID 83341

Provider #: 135084

Dear Ms. Frank:

On **August 15, 2006**, a Complaint Investigation was conducted at Mountain View Care Center. Marcia Key, R.N., Winifred Young, R.N. and Kari Head, R.D. conducted the complaint investigation. A total of 16 survey hours were required to complete this investigation. The complaint allegations, findings, and conclusions are as follows:

Complaint #ID00001650

ALLEGATION #1:

The complainant stated the facility is hot. An identified resident could verify this.

FINDINGS:

The complaint team entered the facility at on July 14, 2006, at 1:45 p.m. An immediate tour of the facility was conducted with the maintenance supervisor. Temperatures were checked with two thermometers in random residents' rooms, including the identified resident's room, the day room and dining rooms. All temperatures were below the maximum allowable temperature of 80 degrees Fahrenheit.

The identified resident and seven random residents were interviewed. Each resident stated the facility's temperature was comfortable. They did no recall any day or days when the facility was too hot. The identified resident had a large fan on in her room. Several residents were observed in bed with light quilts or covers on top of them. Random residents' rooms were observed to have fresh ice water in the rooms. There was also a water dispenser in the day/dining room.

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 2 of 5

The maintenance supervisor was interviewed. He indicated that on July 7, 2006, the swamp cooler malfunctioned, but it worked sufficiently to maintain the north hall section of the facility at a comfortable temperature. There were no other problems with the remaining units. By July 12, 2006, all the units had been serviced.

The complaint team entered the facility again on August 14 and 15, 2006. The facility's temperature was quite comfortable in all residents' areas.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

ALLEGATION #2:

The complainant stated the following concerns about the kitchen:

They ran out of bread. Aides came to kitchen to get a tomato sandwich for a resident but there was no bread, so she got nothing to eat. This occurred within the last month.

One identified company will not deliver bread unless it receives cash payment at time of delivery.

On July 2, 2006, a staff member had to go to store to get 10 gallons of milk as the facility only had skim milk in the kitchen.

They ran out of peanut butter. Several identified residents wanted peanut butter and jelly sandwiches instead of the menu meal.

In the recent past, they ran out of eggs from an identified distributor. A second delivery person gave the facility eggs but the complainant was not sure if the eggs were pasteurized.

FINDINGS:

The kitchen tour was conducted immediately upon entering the facility on August 14, 2006. There was sufficient amount of food storage in the facility as required, to include bread, milk, eggs, meat and peanut butter. Random residents were interviewed. There were no identified concerns about the meals and snacks served. The resident council and grievances were reviewed for a three month time period. There were no identified concerns regarding availability of food.

It is an expectation that if a facility runs out of a particular food item, that item is obtained at a local food store. There is no regulation against a facility paying cash on delivery for food supplies.

The eggs were observed to be pasteurized.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 3 of 5

ALLEGATION #3:

The complainant stated the cupboards on the south side of the kitchen, on the floor level, smell strongly of mold, which is coming from the basement.

The staff is stacking wet dishes, especially the covers for the plates. Silverware is spotted. The clean water pitchers are being stored in the employee break room until staff can get them for residents use.

An identified staff member is not taking food temperatures prior to serving the food.

The staff is not recording refrigerator temperatures.

FINDINGS:

The facility was cited at federal regulation F371, and state regulation C325 for failure to ensure sanitary conditions were maintained in the following areas; service of foods at appropriate temperatures, appropriate storage of clean and sanitized equipment and utensils, maintaining dishwashing equipment to prevent deposits of residue, and proper monitoring of cold food storage temperatures.

The facility was also cited at state regulation C299 for not ensuring alternative menus were prepared a week in advance, or that a record was kept of the alternate menus that were served.

CONCLUSIONS:

Substantiated. Federal and State deficiencies related to the allegation are cited.

ALLEGATION #4:

The complainant identified the following concerns:

Opened items in the refrigerator are not identified or dated.

Staff pour milk two meals ahead of time, this includes over night for the morning meal.

One cook is cooking the food too early and leaving it sit on the stove. One staff purees the bread and eggs one day ahead of time.

The staff does not always add butter and jam to the pureed toast mix.

FINDINGS:

During the tour of the kitchen the refrigerator was observed. All open items were identified and dated.

The milk and other drinks were not poured prior to the acceptable time frame.

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 4 of 5

The identified cook was observed during food preparations. She did not cook the food beyond the maximum allowed time frame and did not leave food on the stove for an unacceptable time period.

The second identified staff member was not on duty at the time of the investigation. No food items were observed to have been prepared the day prior to the investigation.

The surveyors asked for a test tray and tasted the pureed bread item for the day. Both surveyors tasted the food item and determined it had a good flavor.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

ALLEGATION #5:

The complainant stated that one time approximately two weeks ago a family member of an identified resident came to the kitchen asking for ice water for the resident. The complainant acknowledged the family member had not complained that this had occurred more than once.

FINDINGS:

A tour of the facility was conducted on July 14, August 14 and 15, 2006. All residents' rooms were observed for availability of fresh water. Most residents had fresh water pitchers in their rooms, including the identified resident. The staff identified which residents required thickened liquids. At random times during the three day investigation the identified resident was observed to have fresh water near her bedside.

Random residents were interviewed. Each resident stated they routinely get fresh water delivered to their rooms twice daily. Random staff were also interviewed and stated the water cart is passed each morning and afternoon routinely.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

ALLEGATION #6:

The complainant stated snacks and juices are not being offered on the evening shift. The complainant has not witnessed this but is aware that many snacks are returned to the kitchen for the next snack time. There are three identified residents who could be interviewed.

A meal tray for a fourth identified resident came back to the kitchen untouched. The complainant indicated she did not know the circumstances. The resident is unable to feed herself.

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 5 of 5

FINDINGS:

Two of the identified residents were unable to be interviewed. The third resident was observed to eat a midmorning and afternoon snack. Random residents were interviewed. They stated they always received or were offered snacks and juices between meals and in the evening. Random staff was interviewed. They stated that if a resident was asleep they might wake them or ask them later if they wanted a snack.

The fourth identified resident's chart was reviewed and she was observed during a supper and breakfast meal. The staff was attentive to her and fed her without rushing her through the meals. The meal monitor records documented the resident refused several meals each month.

Staff indicated they offer the resident snacks and juices between meals.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

Based on the findings of the complaint investigation, deficiencies were cited and included on the survey report. No response is necessary to this complaint report, as it will be addressed in the Plan of Correction.

If you have questions or concerns regarding our investigation, please contact us at (208) 334-6626. Thank you for the courtesy and cooperation you and your staff extended to us in the course of our investigation.

Sincerely,

MARCIA KEY, R.N. Health Facility Surveyor

March

Long Term Care

MK/dmj

JAMES E. RISCH – Governor RICHARD M. ARMSTRONG – Director DEBRA RANSOM, R.N.,R.H.I.T., Chief BUREAU OF FACILITY STANDARDS 3232 Elder Street P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0036 PHONE 208-334-6626 FAX 208-364-1888

September 8, 2006

Rochelle Frank, Administrator Mountain View Care Center 500 Polk Street East Kimberly, ID 83341

Provider #: 135084

Dear Ms. Frank:

On **August 15, 2006**, a Complaint Investigation was conducted at Mountain View Care Center. Marcia Key, R.N., Winifred Young, R.N. and Kari Head, R.D. conducted the complaint investigation. A total of 16 survey hours were required to complete this investigation. The complaint allegations, findings, and conclusions are as follows:

Complaint #ID00001696

ALLEGATION #1:

The complainant said an identified resident could not eat or drink independently. Very often there was no water in her room. She appeared thirsty because she would drink two glasses for the complainant. Staff did not give her enough fluids to drink.

FINDINGS:

A tour of the facility was conducted at the start of the investigation. All residents' rooms were observed for availability of fresh water. Most residents had fresh water pitchers in their rooms, including the identified resident. The staff identified which residents required thickened liquids. At random times during the two day investigation the identified resident was observed to have fresh water near her bedside. During observation of her cares a staff member was observed to assist her to drink water. The resident did not have physical signs of dehydration. The resident was also observed during a breakfast and supper meal. The staff was observed to offer the resident the fluids on her tray. Review of her meal monitor records for May through July

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 2 of 3

documented the resident drank a sufficient amount of fluids when she would agree to eat and drink. There were thirteen documented refusals of fluids during meals in May, eight fluid refusals during June, and nine fluid refusals in July, 2006.

Random residents were interviewed. Each resident stated they routinely get fresh water delivered to their rooms twice daily.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

ALLEGATION #2:

The complainant stated the identified resident had frequent bladder infections.

FINDINGS:

The identified resident's record was reviewed. The resident was placed on antibiotics for positive urine cultures in January, May, and July 2006. There was no documented evidence that the resident experienced signs and symptoms of urinary tract infections associated with the positive urine cultures.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

ALLEGATION #3:

The complainant stated that when a visitor saw an identified resident in the evening, her meal tray had not been touched. The resident does eat when the visitor feeds her. The aides are good and caring but they do not have the time to feed the resident as she eats very slowly. The resident has lost weight. She weighed about 150 pounds but is down to about 135 pounds.

FINDINGS:

During the investigation the resident was observed during a breakfast and supper meal. The staff was attentive to her and fed her without rushing her through the meals. The meal monitor records documented the resident refused several meals each month. The nutrition documentation identified the resident's usual body weight was 150 - 160 pounds, however, her ideal body weight was 115 pounds +/- 10%. The "nutrition at risk" team monitored her weight and intake closely. Her weight in March 2006, was 137 pounds. On June 19, 2006, the dietitian documented the resident appeared nutritionally stable per her weight and food intake. The dietitian recommended that the resident be discontinued from the "nutrition at risk" program. On July 28, 2006, the dietitian documented the resident's weight was stable at 142 pounds.

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 3 of 3

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

As none of the complaints were substantiated, no response is necessary. Thank you for the courtesies and assistance extended to us during our visit.

Sincerely,

MARCIA KEY, R.N. Health Facility Surveyor

Marcin Ly

Long Term Care

MK/dmj

JAMES E. RISCH – Governor RICHARD M. ARMSTRONG – Director DEBRA RANSOM, R.N.,R.H.I.T., Chief BUREAU OF FACILITY STANDARDS 3232 Elder Street P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0036 PHONE 208-334-6626 FAX 208-364-1888

September 8, 2006

Rochelle Frank, Administrator Mountain View Care Center 500 Polk Street East Kimberly, ID 83341

Provider #: 135084

Dear Ms. Frank:

On **August 15, 2006**, a Complaint Investigation was conducted at Mountain View Care Center. Marcia Key, R.N., Winifred Young, R.N. and Kari Head, R.D. conducted the complaint investigation. A total of 16 survey hours were required to complete this investigation. The complaint allegations, findings, and conclusions are as follows:

Complaint #ID00001698

ALLEGATION #1:

The complainant stated an identified resident had no bowel movements for three to four days in July 2006, and again in August 2006.

FINDINGS:

The identified resident's bowel movement frequency was closely monitored by the staff. The resident's bowel record was reviewed for July and August 2006. The resident had bowel movements at least every fourth day. The facility's Daily Bowel Movement List was reviewed for the same time period. According to this documentation the resident received the appropriate interventions on day two, three and four, if no bowel movement, per the facility's protocol. The physician's standing orders identified he was to be notified if the resident had no bowel movement despite interventions after the fourth day.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 2 of 3

ALLEGATION #2:

The complainant stated an identified resident often has no access to water at the bedside. The facility no longer passes the water cart in the afternoon.

An identified nurse stated the resident no longer needed water because she received medications by injections.

FINDINGS:

A tour of the facility was conducted at the start of the investigation. All residents' rooms were observed for availability of fresh water. Most residents had fresh water pitchers in their rooms, including the identified resident. The staff identified which residents required thickened liquids. At random times during the two day investigation the identified resident was observed to have fresh water near her bedside. During observation of her cares a staff member was observed to assist her to drink water. The resident did not have physical signs of dehydration. The resident was also observed during a breakfast and supper meal. The staff was observed to offer the resident the fluids on her tray. Review of her meal monitor records for May through July documented the resident drank a sufficient amount of fluids when she would agree to eat and drink. There were thirteen documented refusals of fluids during meals in May, eight fluid refusals during June, and nine fluid refusals in July, 2006.

Random residents were interviewed. Each resident stated they routinely get fresh water delivered to their rooms twice daily. Random staff were also interviewed and stated the water cart is passed each morning and afternoon routinely.

The identified nurse was unavailable to be interviewed. The resident's record documented the resident received an antibiotic by injection because the resident at times would either refuse to take the antibiotic in pill form, or would take it, then spit it out. The resident received her other routine medications by mouth.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

ALLEGATION #3:

The complainant stated she recently witnessed the identified resident had on an attends which was saturated in urine. The complainant does not believe incontinent care is occurring timely.

FINDINGS:

The identified resident was observed receiving personal cares prior to a meal time. Two staff

Rochelle Frank, Administrator September 8, 2006 Page 3 of 3

removed her attends. It was not saturated with urine. The staff stated the resident's attends were routinely checked upon rising, after meals, at bedtime, and as needed. The resident's perineal area was intact without evidence of irritation from urine or feces. The attends' products are designed to wick away moisture from the skin. At random times during the two day investigation the resident did not smell of urine. Her room also did not have any unpleasant odors.

The resident's care plan identified she was to receive incontinence care in the same manner as the staff indicated.

CONCLUSIONS:

Unsubstantiated. Lack of sufficient evidence.

As none of the complaints were substantiated, no response is necessary. Thank you for the courtesies and assistance extended to us during our visit.

Sincerely,

MARCIA KEY, R.N.

Marcia Key

Health Facility Surveyor Long Term Care

MK/dmi

PRINTED: 08/18/2006 FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 0938-0391

	STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		1	MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION	CONSTRUCTION		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		135084	B. WIN		***	1	C =(0000	
	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER AIN VIEW CARE CTR			STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 500 POLK ST E KIMBERLY, ID 83341	CODE	[08/1	5/2006	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFI TAG	,	ON SHOU	JLD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE	
F 000	The following defici complaint investiga	encies were cited during a tion at the facility. ducting the investigation survey am Coordinator	FC	000		•		
	RAP = Resident As: DON = Director of N LN = Licensed Nurs RN = Registered Ni RD = Registered Di FSM = Food Service CNA = Certified Nur ADL = Activities of D MAR = Medication	essment Instrument sessment Protocol Jursing se surse etitian e Manager se Aide Daily Living Administration Record						
SS=F	The facility must sto serve food under sa This REQUIREMEN by: Based on a complain observations, record it was determined the sanitary conditions were served.	T is not met as evidenced nt made by the public, review, and staff interviews, e facility did not ensure vere maintained in the	F 3	71	Ç	ECEIN SEP - 5 LITY STAN	2006	
BUKATURY	DIKECTOR'S OR PROVIDE	R/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGN.	ATURE	TITLE	*****		(X6) DATE	

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 program participation.

	STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:			JLTIPLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
			A. BUIL	······		
		135084	B. WIN	G		5/2006
	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER AIN VIEW CARE CTR			STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 500 POLK ST E KIMBERLY, ID 83341		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFI) TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORREC (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHO CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPL DEFICIENCY)	OULD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
	following areas; 1) stemperatures, 2) apsanitized equipment dishwashing equipment (mugs), 5) proper memberatures, and 6 practices. This had the residents who arinclude: 1. On 8/14/06 at 4:5 service was observed were as follows: Regtomatoes, three bears a honey muffin. Alte sandwich, cream of vegetables, and slice. At 5:09 pm, the cool for tray service. The and pureed peaches thermometer into the readout was in degret the surveyor if the °C indicated it ment degret. The cook did so a F. The cook then as "too cold?" The surveyor the FSM or the RD. continued to check to peaches were at 61° to place the peaches designated tray carts were temped, neither the kitchen. A pan of sandwiches and egg	service of foods at appropriate propriate storage of clean and and utensils, 3) maintaining nent to prevent deposits of naintenance of utensils conitoring of cold food storage is proper employee hygenic the potential to effect 100% of the at the facility. Findings O pm, an evening tray line and the menu items served gular: crab salad stuffed in salad, sliced peaches, and mate; meat and cheese chicken soup, mixed and peaches.	F 3	Staff will be/are in serviced on 9/ included are the following: *Taking food temps *Reading of a thermometer *Temping all food items before se *Documenting the temp of every	erving to the	residents

	AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		(X2) M A. BU		PLE CONSTRUCTION G	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		135084	B. Wir	۷G		1	C 5/2006
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER MOUNTAIN VIEW CARE CTR				5(REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 00 POLK ST E (IMBERLY, ID 83341	1 00/1	3/2000
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	· ID PREF TAG		PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORREC (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHO CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPR DEFICIENCY)	ULD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
	where being held for sandwiches were retemperatures were. Approximately 5-10 into the kitchen and temperature of the corab salad was noted three bean salad was to the cook that both in the refrigerator to The cook then place refrigerator, but left out on the counter. Temperature of a lart salad and was at 50 put that back in the indicated she couldritems were not cold made it "like four ho the large bowl and p The cook and the RI to figure out how to pline and keep it cold. Some ice to place in to keep it cold. Approximately 5 min returned with a small the FSM returned to transferring the crab bowel into a deep plat the plastic container with ice. The cook the salad out of the refrigithe plastic container. The temperature of the salad out of the refrigithe plastic container.	r service. When the tray of moved from the fridge, the	F	371			

STATEMEN AND PLAN (STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED		
And the second s		135084	B. WING		C	·	
	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		!	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 500 POLK ST E KIMBERLY, ID 83341	08/15	5/2006	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL BC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECT (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOUT CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRICE DEFICIENCY)	ULD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE	:
F 371	it had come down to then took the pureer refrigerator and place regular crab salad of recheck the temperature the FSM and RD if so they both indicated to serve the food. The multiple residents for crab salad, peaches salad) that was fount temperature (41° F) cook was also observesidents food items not checked (meat a regular three bean so FSM prevented residents	of the required 41° F. The cook of crab salad out of the ced in in the ice next to the ced in tray line, but did not ature. The cook then asked she was ready for tray line and yes. The cook then started to cook was observed to serve cod items (regular and pureed of items (regular and pureed for cold food service. This rived to serve multiple is whose temperatures were and cheese sandwiches and calad). Neither the RD or the dents from being served food opriate temperatures.	F 371	All Cooks will be trained and awarecheck food temps if on the 1st of compliance.	are that they try the temp	need to	
	procedure for service. The RD indicated the down or up to temper RD was then asked the above observation. The FSM was asked indicated she was unwere out of temperar asked to provide coplogs for the last 30 d reviewed and reveals 27 meals from the refrom the alternate chacknowledged the moduld not comment of the could not comment of the RD indicated the module of the RD indicated the module of the RD indicated the module of the RD indicated the	I and RD were asked the e of out of temperature items. e item should be brought erature before service. The why this did not occur during on. The RD did not comment. I the same question and naware that the items served ture. The FSM was then pies of the food temperature ays. These logs were ed missing temperatures for egular menu choice and 32 poice. The FSM hissing temperatures and on why they were not there. 21.14 (B) of the 2005 FDA, "Potentially hazardous food	F371	Food temp. logs will be complete service. The FSD will check daily being done, and the that appropr taken if the food is not at an access	to be sure iate measur	theses are res are	7

	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		(X2) MUL A. BUILDI	TIPLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
	40000		B. WING		С	
		135084	D. WING		08/15/2006	
	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER AIN VIEW CARE CTR			REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 500 POLK ST E KIMBERLY, ID 83341		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORREC' (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOI CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPR DEFICIENCY)	ULD BE COMPLETION	
	shall be cooled with less, or to 7°C (45°F paragraph 3-501.16 ingredients at ambie reconstituted foods Chapter 3, section 5 Food Code indicates cooking, or cooling, public health control 3-501.19 and excep (B) of this section, p shall be maintained: above, except that retemperature and for 3-401.11(B) or rehead 3-403.11(E) may be C (130°F) or above; specified in the following shelf that had visible damage. The shelf water damage and rewipe the area by the and slimy pink substracknowledged the aripuice gun was placed noticed the mold. The beverage pitchers be These were removed stored in an alternate could be replaced.	in 4 hours to 5°C (41°F) or F) as specified under (A)(2) if prepared from ent temperature, such as and canned tuna." ion.16 (A) of the 2005 FDA is, "Except during preparation, or when time is used as the as specified in sectopm it as specified in paragraph otentially hazardous food (1) At 60°C (140°F) or coasts cooked to a a time specified in paragraph held at a temperature of 54° or (2) At a temperature of 54° or (2) At a temperature wing: (a) 5°C (41°F) or less" i::53 pm, during a tour of the that contained the boxes of in was observed to have a rot and mold from water was loose and wobbly. When far left side contained the box. A paper towel was used to rot and mold and a black ance was found. The FSM is and indicated when the two weeks ago, she had not here were multiple plastic ing stored on that shelf. It, cleaned and sanitized and a location until that shelf	F371		and itemize any areas	
	onapioi +, aconon at	03.11 of the 2005 FDA Food		t de la companya de		

	STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 135084		(X2) MI A. BUIL	ULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION DING	(X3) DATE S COMPLE	
			B. WIN	G	l l	C 5/2006
	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER			STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, 500 POLK ST E KIMBERLY, ID 83341		3/2006
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN (EACH CORRECTIVE / CROSS-REFERENCED T DEFICIE	ACTION SHOULD BE FO THE APPROPRIATE	COMPLETION DATE
F 371	paragraph (D) of thi and utensils, launde and single-use artic clean, dry location; exposed to splash, contamination"	Except as specified in s section, cleaned equipment ered linens, and single-service les shall be stored: (1) In a (2) Where they are not dust, or other	F3	71		
	kitchen, a cupboard pans stored inverted found to be wet from put away wet. The F agreed the dishes n being stacked and p	፣	F371	All dishes will be air dr	ied prior to being sto	red 9/9/06
	Code indicates, "After equipment and uten used after adequate paragraph (a) of 21 solutions, before connot be cloth dried ex	on 1.11 of the 2005 FDA Food er cleaning and sanitizing, sils: (A) Shall be air-dried or draining as specified in CFR 178.1010 sanitizing neact with food; and (B) May scept that utensils that have be polished with cloths that an and dry."				
	beverage pitchers w employee breakroon front of employee loc observed with perso One cart appeared to from the floors, and placed on the top of not cover all pitchers interviewed and show acknowledged the w	40 am, two carts of resident ere observed stored in the n. The carts were "parked" in ckers. These lockers were nal items stored within them. to be dirty pitchers returned one cart had a clean towel the pitchers. This towel did s. At this time the FSM was wn the carts. She ater pitchers were stored in FSM indicated when the	F371	Clean beverages pitche utensils, plates, etc. Wi areas only.	ers and/or any other Il be stored in appro	service 9/8/ved

	STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING			(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		135084	B. WI		***************************************	1	С
	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER AIN VIEW CARE CTR			5	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 500 POLK ST E KIMBERLY, ID 83341] 08/1	5/2006
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREF TAG	ix	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECT (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOUT CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIES OF THE APP	ULD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
	them and they were (across the hall from waited until nursing FSM indicated they store them because the kitchen. Chapter 4, section 9 Code indicates, "(A) paragraph (B) of this sanitized equipment and single-service a be stored: (1) In lock sources of contamin 3. On 8/14/06 at 4:50 glasses and 22 plast stored and ready for hard water spots on the glasses and indicated the deterge FSM acknowledged had previous probler utensils. On 8/15/06 one of the facility's mindicated the water shad not been "reset" the reason hard water the dishes. He then i and it was filling with concern. Chapter 4, section 50 Food Code indicates maintained in a state	ed, a towel was placed on wheeled into the breakroom the dishroom) where they took them to pass water. The did not know where else to of space constraints within 103.12 of the 2005 FDA Food Except as specified in a section, cleaned and the utensils, laundered linens, and single-use articles may not the community of the communit	F371		Hard water spots on dishware will immediately upon identification wi maintenance dept. and RD. The followed: * Maintenance "Reset" the water safter identification of hard water spots identified *Maintenance to keep a log on who been reset *FSD will do a spot check on dishwanitation check is done	th the admollowing stomesting the softener imports to do if has the water s	ninistrator, eps will be nmediately rd water oftener has

	TATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING			(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		135084	B. WIN	1G _		1	5/2006
	PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER			5	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 00 POLK ST E IMBERLY, ID 83341		3,2000
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENCY	TEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFI TAG		PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECT (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOU CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPR DEFICIENCY)	JLD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
F 371		ge 7 60 pm, two trays of plastic	F3	371			
	coffee mugs were of than 10) mugs were from the inside food scratches, pits, and these mugs. The FS	bserved. Multiple (greater e noted with the glaze gone l contact surface. Multiple gouges were observed in SM, RD and facility consultant gs and acknowledged the	F371		Any service ware found to have sor missing glaze will discarded an	scratches, nd replace	pits gouges,
	Food Code indicates surfaces shall be: (1	202.11 (A) of the 2005 FDA s, "Multiuse food-contact l) Smooth; (2) Free of breaks, s, chips, inclusions, pits, and s"					
	kitchen, 3 refrigerate observed. A "Refrige Log" for August 200 temperatures from 8 indicated she had to facility and thought t beginning of the more sheets for July 2006 provide copies of Ju "Refrigerator/Freeze reviewed and no tem through 7/10/06 wen August temperatures either. The FSM ack that the cook was go	6 were noted to have missing 8/106 - 8/7/06. The FSM of get these forms from a sister he temperatures at the 10 mth were documented on the 11 mth were documented on the 12 mth were documented on the 13 mth were documented on the 14 mth were documented on the 15 mth were documented on the 16 mth were documented on the 17 mth were not	F371		Food temp logs will be recorded a	and reviews	ed daily by
	to removed a sharple	pm, the cook was observed pen from her cleavage area and label food that was going		***************************************			

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING B. WING PRINTED: 08/18/2006 FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 0938-0391 (X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED C

NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER MOUNTAIN VIEW CARE CTR STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 500 POLK ST E KIMBERLY, ID 83341 (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) F 371 Continued From page 8 into the refrigerator and replace the pen in her cleavage area with the clip part attached to her shirt. This practice was observed to occur three			A. DOILDING	c	
MOUNTAIN VIEW CARE CTR STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 500 POLK ST E KIMBERLY, ID 83341 (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) F 371 Continued From page 8 into the refrigerator and replace the pen in her cleavage area with the clip part attached to her shirt. This practice was observed to occur three		135084	B. WING	1	
PREFIX TAG (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) F 371 Continued From page 8 into the refrigerator and replace the pen in her cleavage area with the clip part attached to her shirt. This practice was observed to occur three			500 POLK ST E	1 00/10/2000	
into the refrigerator and replace the pen in her cleavage area with the clip part attached to her shirt. This practice was observed to occur three	PREFIX (EACH D	H DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL	PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHO TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPR	OULD BE COMPLETION	
times. The cook did not wash her hands at any time after handling the potentially contaminated Handwill be washed after touching any body part other	into the recleavage a shirt. This times. The time after pen before. Chapter 2, Code indict their hands specified ubefore enging working will utensils, as single-use human book clean, expending in interest in the control of the con	refrigerator and replace the pen in her a area with the clip part attached to her is practice was observed to occur three he cook did not wash her hands at any er handling the potentially contaminated ore returning to food service. 2, section 301.14 of the 2005 FDA Food dicates, "Food Employees shall clean ads and exposed portions of their arms as a under section 2-301.12 immediately ngaging in food preparation including with exposed food, clean equipment and and unwrapped single-service and se articles and: (A) After touching bare body parts other than clean hands and exposed portions of arms(I) After	The cook identified on 8/14/96 was cooking position and retrain started Handwill be washed after touching clean hands and come.	ed on 8/16/06 ng any body part other the	

Bureau of Facility Standards STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING B. WING 135084 08/15/2006 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 500 POLK ST E MOUNTAIN VIEW CARE CTR KIMBERLY, ID 83341 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X4) ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) **PREFIX** (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE COMPLETE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE DEFICIENCY) C 000 INITIAL COMMENTS C 000 The Administrative Rules of the Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Skilled Nursing and Intermediate Care Facilities are found in IDAPA 16, Title 03, Chapter 2. The following deficiencies were cited during a complaint investigation at the facility. The surveyors conducting the investigation survey were: Marcia Key, RN Team Coordinator Kari Head, MS, RDLD Survey Definitions: MDS = Minimum Data Set assessment RAI = Resident Assessment Instrument RAP = Resident Assessment Protocol DON = Director of Nursing RECEIVED LN = Licensed Nurse RN = Registered Nurse SEP - 5 2008 RD = Registered Dietitian FSM = Food Service Manager CNA = Certified Nurse Aide FACILITY STANDARDS ADL = Activities of Daily Living MAR = Medication Administration Record C 299 02.107,05,c C 299 c. Menus shall be prepared at least a week in advance. Menus shall be corrected to conform with food 1)Menus will be prepared 1 week in advance RD will sign off C299 actually served. (Items not served 2)Food(substantiates will be posted on menus shall be deleted and food actually 3)Items not served will be deleted from the menu served shall be written in.) The 4)All copies of menus and diet plans will be dated and kept corrected copy of the menu and diet on file for 30 days plan shall be dated and kept on file > Alternates for thirty (30) days.

Bureau of Facility Standards

) onna Kolu LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

FORM APPROVED Bureau of Facility Standards STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: COMPLETED A. BUILDING B. WING 135084 08/15/2006 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 500 POLK ST E MOUNTAIN VIEW CARE CTR KIMBERLY, ID 83341 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X4) ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEEDED BY FULL (X5) COMPLETE PRÉFIX PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE DEFICIENCY) C 299 Continued From page 1 C 299 This Rule is not met as evidenced by: Based on a complaint from the public, record review, and staff interview, it was determined the facility did not ensure alternative menus were prepared a week in advance. The facility also did not ensure a record was kept of the alternate menus that were served. This had the potential to effect all residents who ate at the facility. Findings include: On 8/14/06 at 3:30 pm, copies of the facility's Spring/Summer cycle menus were reviewed. On these menus, there was no indication what the alternate menu choice for each day was. The copies provided to the surveyor were the copies to be kept on hand that included any substitutions that were made. A daily posting of the menu items, including alternate choices was noted on a dry erase board outside of the kitchen. The regular menu items were crab salad stuffed tomato, three bean salad, honey muffin, and sliced peaches. The alternate menu choice posted was meat and cheese sandwich, cream of chicken soup, mixed vegetables, and sliced peaches. The weekly menu that was posted underneath the dry erase board did not include any alternative menu choices. On 8/14/06 at 4:22 pm, the FSM was interviewed and indicated that the cook was responsible for determining what the alternative meal choice was going to be. When asked when these menu items were determined, the FSM indicated that she encouraged the cooks to have the choices made by the night before for the next lunch and dinner

so they could post them. However, they had to have them decided by the morning meal so residents could have the choices at least a meal in advance. The FSM was asked why the alternates were not planned more in advance.

Sureau of Facility Standards STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION (X3) DATE SURVEY AND PLAN OF CORRECTION **IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:** COMPLETED A. BUILDING B. WING _ 135084 08/15/2006 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 500 POLK ST E MOUNTAIN VIEW CARE CTR KIMBERLY, ID 83341 SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X4) ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (X5) COMPLETE (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION) TAG TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DATE DEFICIENCY) C 299 Continued From page 2 C 299 and she indicated it was related to budgetary issues. The FSM indicated she was trying to get the cooks to use up what was in the kitchen. The FSM acknowledged there was no record of what alternative menu items were served to residents. On 8/15/06 at approximately 9:00 am, the facility's consultant was informed of the alternate menus not prepared in advance. At this time, she phoned the dietitian consultant company where the menus were purchased from. The RD on the phone indicated that alternate menu choices were included in all menus provided to facilities. The menus gave facilities examples of how to create alternative menus. The facility could use the examples given or create their own. This same consulting company was contacted via telephone on 8/16/06 at approximately 9:00 am and confirmed that the expectation was for facilities to plan alternate menus at least a week in advance and to keep copies on hand of what menu items were actually served (including alternate menu items) for 30 days. C 325 02.107.08 FOOD SANITATION Refer to F371

per conversation & Admin on april 100 at 1:32 pm C 325 08. Food Sanitation, The acquisition, preparation, storage, and serving of all food and drink in a facility shall comply with Idaho Department of Health and Welfare Rules, Title 02, Chapter 19, "Rules Governing Food Sanitation Standards for Food Establishments (UNICODE)." This Rule is not met as evidenced by: Please refer to F 371 as it relates to the storage, preparation and service of food under sanitary conditions.